



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/815,164	03/31/2004	Min Zuo	121036-067	8207
35684 7590 05/19/2008				
BUTZEL LONG				
IP DOCKETING DEPT				
350 SOUTH MAIN STREET				
SUITE 300				
ANN ARBOR, MI 48104				
EXAMINER				
TRAN, THAO T				
ART UNIT				
PAPER NUMBER				
1794				
NOTIFICATION DATE				
DELIVERY MODE				
05/19/2008				
ELECTRONIC				

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patent@butzel.com  
boudrie@butzel.com

## Interview Summary

Application No.

10/815,164

Applicant(s)

ZUO ET AL.

Examiner

Thao T. Tran

Art Unit

1794

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Thao T. Tran.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Michael Gzybowski.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 4/23-5/6/2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-3, 6-10, 15, and 17.

Identification of prior art discussed: Lin et al., Chen et al., Watanabe et al..

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner called to suggest the cancelation of claims 1-3, 6, and 17 and the submission of a terminal disclaimer to overcome an obviousness type double patenting over US Pat. 6,770,733 in order to place the application in condition for allowance. Counsel has authorized the examiner to cancel claims 1-3, 6, and 17 after a restriction is made. However, a terminal disclaimer has not been received yet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Thao T. Tran/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794  
Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an  
Attachment to a signed Office action.